

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3362 of 2017
Date of Decision: 07.02.2017**

Kuldeep Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would feel contended if their representation dated 19.01.2017 (Annexure P8) is decided by respondent No.3 by taking into consideration all the attending facts and circumstances.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to have a fair look on the claim made by the petitioners in terms of aforesaid representation and decide the same in accordance with law. In doing so, respondent No.3 would be at liberty to join both the parties to the *lis* in order to ascertain the truth. If any incriminating material comes during inquiry, respondent No.3 would be at liberty to avail the guidelines laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Disposed of.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

07.02.2017
prince

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No