

108.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33599-2017

Date of decision:12.09.2017

GURDEV KAUR AND ANR

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents i.e. respondents No.4 to 8.

Admittedly, the petitioners are the in-laws of respondent No.4-Sarabjeet Kaur. There is a matrimonial dispute between respondent No.4 and her husband-Kuldeep Singh. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that it is on account of matrimonial dispute, the petitioners, who are otherwise aged persons, are being threatened by respondent No.4.

For the aforesaid grievance, petitioners have already submitted a representation/complaint dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) to Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Though apparently it is clear that a matrimonial dispute is pending between respondent No.4 and her husband, but taking into consideration the age of the petitioners, who have made allegation of threat to their life and liberty, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Jalandhar, to look into the contents of their representation/ complaint dated 07.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) vis-a-vis threat perception, if any, and to act in accordance with law.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.09.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No