

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34444-2016
Date of decision-21.03.2017**

Subhash Padam

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.06 dated 30.01.2016 registered under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

On 28.02.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner being Tehsildar on the documents produced by the parties signed the mutation deed and the contents of the same were certified by the Lamberdar Sohan Singh after the patwari had entered the agreement which was verified by the Kanugoo in pursuance of exchange deed (Annexure P-2). The complainant Rajinder Singh was also signatory of the compromise (Annexure P-4) reached between the parties. He further states that co-accused, Lakhwinder Singh has been admitted to bail by this Court.

Post again on 21.03.2017.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 28.02.2017 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 28.02.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 21, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No