

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33486 of 2017

Date of decision: October 30, 2017

Amit

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Wasu, Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.40 dated 11.03.2017, under Sections 452, 323, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sarangpur, Chandigarh. This Court had made an order dated 11.09.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 11.09.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

October 30, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No