

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34253-2016

Date of decision: 16.1.2017

Ram Chander

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted vide order dated 24.09.2016. It is further submitted that on completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court and the trial is pending. Further submission made by counsel is that only allegation against the petitioner is with regard to committing offence punishable under Section 216 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (added later).

Counsel for the State has conceded to factual assertions but opposed the bail application.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of. Interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.09.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (ii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

JANUARY 16, 2017

'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No