

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.206

CRM-M No.3333 of 2017

Date of decision: 05.05.2017

Arun Prasad Verma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Arti Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.61 dated 03.06.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Division No.6 Industrial Area, Ludhiana.

On 03.02.2017, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner to the satisfaction of the Arresting officer subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner, learned Senior counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case; no recovery is to be made from him; he is not involved in any other criminal case; under interim order of this Court, he has not only joined the investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency and that even if the allegations are accepted, which he does not admit, no case is

had done due diligence on the submission of the property papers by the complainant as security for the loan taken by him.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagdish Raj, admits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has fully cooperated with the investigating agency. It is further submitted that at this stage, petitioner is not required for any further questioning.

After considering the above rival submission, the petition is allowed and the order dated 03.02.2017 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the condition prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Nothing observed herein above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this court on the merits of the case.

If during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal activity/misusing the concession of bail, it will be open to the State to move an appropriate application before the competent Court/this Court for cancellation of the granted to the petitioner through this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 05, 2017

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No