

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3331-2017

Date of Decision : 28.03.2017

SUPRIYA & ANOTHER

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Pursuant to the notice issued on 01.02.2017, the report of registry is that the process fee has also not been filed by the petitioners. Seemingly, it is for the reason that this Court, while issuing notice, in the aforesaid order had observed that the order of protection would not be construed to be a bar or any action taken as per law in terms of the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected within the four corners of law. The observation made with regard to the proceedings under the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, if otherwise maintainable, would continue to enure.

March 28, 2017

rajneesh/vinay

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No