

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-33298 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 13.09.2017**

Shobaib

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present:- Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.357 dated 4.5.2017 under Sections 452, 379-B, 506 IPC and Section 201 IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Saran Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner-accused is real brother-in-law of the complainant and is in custody since 5.5.2017. The allegation against him is that he demanded money from the complainant, however, when she refused to give the money, he started quarreling with her. The co-accused of the petitioner namely Rashid caught hold of the complainant whereas another co-accused namely Mausin

snatched her gold chain and when the complainant raised an alarm, the petitioner gave a knife blow on her face (cheek) and fled away.

Though learned State counsel does not dispute the period of custody of the petitioner, however, he submits that the manner in which the petitioner inflicted injury upon the complainant, petitioner is not entitled for bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 5.5.2017 and the complainant as well as the petitioner are in close relationship, coupled with the fact that trial in the case may take long time, I deem it appropriate to order release of the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

However, the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

September 13, 2017  
**AK**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No