

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-33255 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.10.2017

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 85 dated 08.05.2017, registered under Sections 452, 323, 354-A, 506, 427, 308, 325, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

It is contended that injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature. There is a cross version from the side of the petitioner also, however, under the influence of opposite party, the same was not registered by the police. He further states that on the complaint filed by wife of the petitioner, Sections 308 and 325 were added subsequently. The petitioner is in custody since 12.05.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail

application, however, she admits the factum of cross case and states that cross FIR under Sections 323, 341 and 506 of IPC has been registered.

Heard.

Considering the fact that injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.10.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No