

233.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33190-2017

Date of decision:13.11.2017.

ANJALI KUMARI

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. B.S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.5 and 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for
issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and
liberty of the petitioner and the family members of her husband.

The case is of the petitioner is that she is major and since
she is known to each other i.e. her husband, they fell in love with each
other which culminated into their marriage. The parents of the
petitioner are not happy with their marriage and she is being harassed
and humiliated at the instance of respondents No.4 to 6.

No one has put in appearance on behalf of respondents
No.5 and 6. Though on earlier date, Mr. Nitish Yadav, Advocate, has
put in appearance on their behalf.

Reply by way of affidavit of Mahender Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Civil Lines, Gurugram, filed in court today on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, is taken on record. In the reply, it has been stated that an FIR No.612 dated 20.08.2017 under Section 346 IPC was registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Gurugram on a complaint filed by Sandeep i.e. respondent No.5, who is brother of petitioner. However, the petitioner has made a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram on 01.10.2017, that she has solemnized love marriage and her parents do not agree with her marriage. Therefore, she left the house at her own will without any forcible move by anybody. She is stated to be happy with her marriage. The police has prepared a cancellation report on 04.11.2017 in the aforesaid FIR. As on date, her husband is stated to be residing abroad.

In view of above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

However, in case, the petitioner still feels any threat to her life and liberty, she is at liberty to approach the concerned authority.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

13.11.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No