

CRM-M-33999-2016
CRM-M-31293-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.249)

CRM-M-33999-2016
Date of Decision:- May 09, 2017

Rajinder Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

(Sr. No.249-A)

CRM-M-31293-2016

Ranjit Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33999-2016).

Mr. Parteek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-31293-2016).

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sudesh, Advocate, for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

This common order will dispose of both the aforesaid petitions
accordingly.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In report No.22 dated 17.05.2010 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in FIR No.60 dated 17.05.2010 registered under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for the complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated December 13, 2016 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Kapurthala showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony. In that view of the matter, I make the following order:-

ORDER

1. Report No.22 dated 17.05.2010 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in FIR No.60 dated 17.05.2010 registered under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala is quashed by way of

CRM-M-33999-2016
CRM-M-31293-2016

[3]

compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. CRM-M-33999-2016 as well as CRM-M-31293-2016 are being disposed of accordingly as FIR in question in both these petitions stands quashed by way of compounding/compromise as aforesaid.

May 09, 2017
Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No