

CRM-M-33977-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.250)

CRM-M-33977-2016

Date of Decision:-May 12, 2017

Major Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. S.S. Khaira, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Gurleen Dhanda, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 and 6.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.108 dated 25.09.2012 registered under Sections 341/324/323/427/506/148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Tehsil & District Batala, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 6 state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated November 07, 2016 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has

been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.108 dated 25.09.2012 registered under Sections 341/324/323/427/506/148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Tehsil & District Batala is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 12, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No