

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.3310 of 2017

Date of Decision: 09.02.2017

Bhupinder Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR
No.132 dated 08.09.2016 under Sections 302, 324, 323, 325,
506, 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Meharban, District
Ludhiana.

The FIR was got registered at the instance of
complainant Jagtar Singh on the allegations that his father
Nidhan Singh had purchased 6 Kanals of land in village Sasrali

Colony adjoining to the land. Jagat Singh also purchased the land. There was a dispute with regard to partition of land and installation of transformer in question with Jagat Singh. On 08.09.2016 at about 06.00 AM, Nidhan Singh went to the fields and complainant went to serve him tea. The complainant found that the transformer had already been installed. His brothers were cutting the fodder crop in front of the land. At that time, Baldev Singh, Charanjit Singh and elder son of Shamsheer Singh were arguing with the father of the complainant. Baldev Singh was armed with dang. Bhupinder Singh (petitioner) was armed with dang and Charanjit Singh and elder son of Shamsheer Singh were raising lalkaras. Thereafter, Baldev Singh inflicted dang blow on the left leg of father of the complainant. Father of the complainant fell down and when he tried to stand, Baldev Singh caught hold him from his waist and Ajmer Singh gave spade blows on the head of Nidhan Singh. When the complainant tried to rescue his father, then Bhupinder Singh gave dang blow on his right arm below the elbow. Baldev Singh also gave dang

blow on his right leg. They caused injuries to other brothers of the complainant as well.

Apparently, there was no injury inflicted by the petitioner to the deceased Nidhan Singh. Charanjit Singh has already been granted regular bail by this Court on 27.02.2017 in CRM-M No.2070 of 2017.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sham Lal could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

In view of above, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

09.02.2017

Jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No