

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33067 of 2017

Date of decision: October 24, 2017

Sumit Kapoor

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.0366 dated 28.07.2017, under Section 188 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Section 77 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, Section 4, 6B of the Cigarette and other Tobacco Products (Prohibition of Advertisement and Regulation of Trade and Commerce, Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 2003, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar. This Court had made an order dated 07.09.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 07.09.2017

granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petitioner shall furnish an undertaking before the trial Court that he will not indulge in similar or any other kind of offence in future.

Petition stands disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 24, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No