

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-33024 OF 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 12th SEPTEMBER, 2017

Prem Singh @ Seetu & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.46 dated 04.02.2017 registered under Sections 379-A & 392 IPC and Section 25, 54 of Arms Act (Sections 395 and 397 IPC added later on) at Police Station Butana, District Karnal.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Admittedly, the challan has been filed and the charges have been framed and the case is fixed for evidence before the trial Court.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no other case or crime reported against the petitioners.

Petitioners are behind the bars since 16.02.2017. Investigation is complete, challan has been presented and even charges have been framed. The trial as such would take time to conclude.

Without making any observation on merits and keeping in view the length of incarceration already suffered by the petitioners and further the fact that the trial will take its own time, they are held entitled to the benefit of bail.

In that view of the matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Hence, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) The CRL. MISC. No.M-33024 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioners be released on bail to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Sirsa.
- (iii) The petitioners shall not tamper or influence the prosecution witness(es).
- (iv) In case of violation of the above condition the liberty is reserved to apply for cancellation of bail.

12th SEPTEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes* *No*