

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33869-2016

Date of Decision: 14.03.2017

Sunita Rani

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Punjeet Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 02.02.2017, learned State counsel on instructions from the police official who is present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation but the money stated to have been embezzled by her is still to be recovered.

Obviously, whether such embezzlement took place or not would be subject matter of further investigation and on query, it is not denied that the documents on the basis of which it is alleged that the petitioner had embezzled money during her tenure as Sarpanch, are already with the investigating agency.

Keeping in view the above but without making any comments on the merits of the allegations against the petitioner, in any manner, the order dated 02.02.2017 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 14, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.