

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33791-2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 15.09.2017

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. A.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab,  
assisted by ASI Kulwinder Singh.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.102 dated 14.07.2016, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Sadar Sangrur.

Heard.

The complainant is present in person along with her father. The parties, with the efforts of learned counsel representing them, have reached a settlement. The parties have agreed to join the company of each. The petitioner assures to take care of all the requirements of his complainant-wife. The complainant has joined the company of her husband-petitioner from the Court itself.

On 22.09.2016, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel submits that the present F.I.R is a counter blast to the F.I.R registered by the petitioner dated 09.05.2016 (P-2). Further the matter can be resolved amicable if sent to the Mediation Centre of this Court.*

*On oral request of the petitioner, Suman, resident of Gharachon-99141-06245 is impleaded as party in the present petition. Subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- towards litigation expenses to the respondent-wife, notice of motion be issued to the respondents, for 30.11.2016.*

*Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on his furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned below, as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C :-*

- i. a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by Police Officer as and when required;*
- ii. a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii. a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court*
- iv. such other condition as may be imposed under sub section (3) of Section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

In view of the settlement reached between the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by

this Court vide order dated 22.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

**15.09.2017**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|

|                      |     |    |
|----------------------|-----|----|
| Whether Reportable : | Yes | No |
|----------------------|-----|----|