

**249 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32872 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: November 06, 2017**

Pinky Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

Present:- Mr. K.K. Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Senior DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In DDR No.24 dated 10.11.2016 under Sections 323, 341 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered as cross case in FIR No.94 dated 02.08.2016 under Sections 307, 326, 323, 324, 506 IPC at Police Station Laddowal, District Ludhiana City, the petitioner seeks quashing of the said DDR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is genuine.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. DDR No.24 dated 10.11.2016 under Sections 323, 341 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered as cross case in FIR No.94 dated 02.08.2016 under Sections 307, 326, 323, 324, 506 IPC at Police Station Laddowal, District Ludhiana City alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

06.11.2017

m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No