

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32857-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.9.2017

Palwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner has not contacted him.

Vide order dated 6.9.2017, it was for the reason that learned counsel for the petitioner had contended that if some time is afforded to the petitioner, he would pay the remaining two installments to the complainant, notice of motion was issued. It was clarified that if petitioner did not keep the promise so made before the Court of Sessions of payment of two installments and does not comply with the direction of making the remaining payment, the petition would stand dismissed.

Admittedly, it has not been so done by the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition in hand stands dismissed.

27.9.2017

Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No