

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32854-2017

Date of decision: 01.11.2017

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioner herein has been granted regular bail.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

No.
No.

102 CRM-M-40275-2017 (O&M)

ISMAIL VS STATE OF HARYANA

Present: Ms. Tarunpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

CRM-34855-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Documents Annexures P-2 & P-3 are taken on the record.

CRM-M-40275-2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is ready to settle all disputes amicably with the complainant and, in fact, has already filed a petition for restitution of Conjugal Rights, which is pending before the Civil Judge, Mewat. It is also argued that a complaint has been filed by the petitioner against his wife, the complainant as well as her family members under Sections 323/452/392/506 IPC.

Notice of motion for 09.02.2018.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

103 CRM-M-40902-2017

PARAMJIT SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Addl.

Sessions Judge has wrongly noted that no recoveries have been effected.

Notice of motion for 20.11.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40921-2017

Date of decision: 01.11.2017

Deepak and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sukh Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed directly without approaching the Sessions Court, Faridabad for granting anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 415 dated 05.06.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach the Court at Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to withdraw this petition with the necessary relief of approaching the Courts at Faridabad.

In the meantime, arrest of the petitioners is stayed for two days in order to enable them to approach the Courts at Faridabad.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

No.
No.

105 CRWP-1104-2017

BALWAN SINGH VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 30.11.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

106 CRA-S-3839-SB-2017 (O&M)

RAJESH KUMAR VS STATE OF HARYANA

Present: Mr. V.K. Gupta, Advocate,
 for the applicant-appellant.

CRA-S-3839-SB-2017

Heard.

Admitted.

CRM-34743-2017

The application is allowed.

Recovery of fine shall remain stayed till the final decision of
the appeal.

CRM-34744-2017

Issue notice of the instant application seeking suspension of
sentence of the applicant-appellant during the pendency of the appeal, for
05.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**107 CRM-33160-2017 in
CRA-S-3686-SB-2017**

GAGANDEEP SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellant.

On oral request of learned counsel for the applicant-appellant, parents of the deceased are impleaded as party respondent Nos. 2 & 3. He undertakes to file the amended memo of parties within three days.

The recovery of fine has already been stayed by this Court till the final decision of the appeal.

However, in the case of payment/deposit of compensation, issue notice to the parents of the deceased who are impleaded as party respondent Nos. 2 & 3 for 19.01.2018 on filing of amended memorandum of parties within three days.

01.11.2017
Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

**108 CRM-34438-2017 in
CRA-S-515-SB-2010**

GURTEJ SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB

Present: Mr. Sham Lal, Advocate, for
Mr. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellant.

This is an application for early hearing of the main appeal i.e.

CRA-S-515-SB-2010.

Issue notice of the application to the State of Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 14.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

109

CRR-2721-2017

MUKESH KUMAR VS JYOTI SHARMA & ANOTHER

Present: Mr. Gursimranjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

On request, adjourned to 04.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

SAURABH VS GIFTY AND ANOTHER

Present: Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, appearance has been caused by Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, on behalf of respondent No.1 and filed his vakalatnama.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the amount of interim maintenance that has been awarded to the respondents is on the lower side. He argues that as per the ITR of the petitioner for the assessment year 2014-15, total income has been reflected to be ₹ 2,25,090/- which would make an approximate income of ₹ 20,000/- per month and, therefore, there should be no interference by this Court in the orders so passed. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that the order dated 09.10.2017 has not been complied with insofar as 50% of the amount payable to the respondents has not been released.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to verify the ITR and to clear the maintenance in terms of the order dated 09.10.2017.

learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order dated 09.10.2017 will be complied with by making the payment of the maintenance amount before the trial Court on the date so fixed.

Learned counsel for the respondents seeks some time to place the ITR on the record.

Adjourned to 22.11.2017.

01.11.2017

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

111 CRR(F)-442-2017

RANJIT KAUR AND ANR VS AMANDEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate, for
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Notice of motion for 16.01.2018.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

112 CRM-33698-2017

**JAGDEEP KAUR ALIAS CHARANJEET KAUR AND ANR.
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.**

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017
Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

AKHTAR ALI VS STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.P.P. Chahar, learned DAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Let three copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day, failing which no action is called for. He seeks some time to file status report.

On filing of process fee, notices be issued to private respondents No. 5 to 13 for 14.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

114 CRM-M-40974-2017

DURGA VS UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS

Present: Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 14.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

115 CRM-M-40977-2017

GURPREET KAUR VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, learned D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let three copies of the petition be served upon him during the course of the day, failing which no action is called for. He seeks some time to file status report.

On filing of process fee, notices be issued to private respondents No. 4 to 7 for 14.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33952-2016

Date of decision: 01.11.2017

Rajiv Kathpal

...Petitioner

Versus

Shabad minor through his mother Smt. Disha Sabharwal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rohan Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to
withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

No.

Whether reportable

No.

117 CRM-34241-2017

MAMTA VS RAJESH KUMAR AND ORS.

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

118 CRM-M-21032-2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21032-2017
Date of decision: 01.11.2017

Aarti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to
withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

No.
No.

119 CRM-M-26275-2017

NARINDER SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for
Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits
that the matter is under active consideration for a settlement between the
parties and requests for a short adjournment.

Adjourned to 12.12.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

120

CRM-M-38512-2017

AMARJIT SINGH

VS

RAJBIR KAUR @ NAVROOP KAUR

Present: Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate, has appeared and filed his vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner with no objection. He seeks a short adjournment to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 07.11.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

BALBIR SINGH VS MANJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have got married in the year 1993 and have been residing separately since the year 2002. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to settle all disputes amicably and the matter should be referred for mediation.

Notice of motion.

Let the parties appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 04.12.2017.

The petitioner is also directed to deposit a sum of ₹ 11,000/- as litigation expenses with the Registry of this Court, to be reimbursed to the respondent-wife.

In the meantime, in order to allow the parties to mediate and settle their differences, proceedings before the Executing Court are directed to be adjourned beyond a date given by this Court.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

122 CRM-M-40577-2017

JASBIR SINGH AND OTHERS VS RAVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

On request, adjourned to 14.11.2017.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

123

CRM-M-40724-2017

PARAMPAL SINGH & ANR

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

Present:

Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

**BALVIR SINGH AND ANR
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.**

Present: Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

This is a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis that a compromise has been entered into between the parties.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, learned DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State. Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply adequate number of copies of the petition to the State counsel during the course of the day, failing which no action is called for.

Mr. , Advocate, has appeared on behalf of the complainant-respondent No.2 & 3, who admits that the compromise between the parties has been effected. Let vakalatnama be placed on the record.

Now the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/ Illaqa Magistrate on 07.11.2017 to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court, is directed to send the report regarding the genuineness of compromise on or before the date fixed i.e. 29.11.2017.

To be heard along with CRM-M-27928-2017.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court, through fax, for compliance.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

125

CRM-M-40747-2017

**AJAY KUMAR SHARMA @ AJAY SHEKHAR
VS
KUSUM AND ORS**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 16.01.2018.

In the meantime, the Executing Court is directed to adjourn the
case beyond a date given by this Court.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

GAGANDEEP VS ANURADHA

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the amount of maintenance awarded to the respondent @ ₹ 5,000/- per month is on the higher side. It is contended that the respondent had willfully left his company. The allegations raised of offence under Section 307/34 IPC in the FIR No. 56 dated 17.06.2014 have been found to be false. It is also contended that the petitioner is earning only ₹ 6500/- per month and also has the responsibility of maintaining one male child born out of this wedlock.

Notice of motion for 23.02.2018.

Issue notice re: stay as well.

In the meantime, payment beyond a sum of ₹ 2,500/- per month as maintenance to the respondent is stayed.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

127

CRM-M-40793-2017

**RAJINDER PAL SINGH
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR**

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

128

CRM-M-40839-2017

RAJWINDER SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

129 CRM-M-40893-2017

GAURAV NARANG
VS
UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017
Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

130

CRM-M-40949-2017

**GAGANDEEP MALIK
VS
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR**

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

131 CRM-M-40980-2017

SHIV KUMAR & ORS VS STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

132 CRM-M-35906-2017

GURMEET SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

Present: Mr. , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

01.11.2017

Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE