

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.33682 of 2016
Date of Decision: 19.01.2017**

Baljinder Singh @ Gagga

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.85, dated 06.08.2016, registered under Sections 307/427/148 and 149 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on), at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot, as prayed for.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has inflicted one blow with the handle of the hand-pump on the knee of the right leg of the injured and another blow with the same weapon on his head. Thereafter, the petitioner along with the other co-accused fled away from the spot after taking away his 12 bore gun, 32 bore revolver and currency notes of Rs.1,57,000/- along with his car. As per the medical record, the right leg of the injured has been fractured and the injury on his head alleged to have been inflicted by the petitioner has been declared dangerous to life.

A specific role has been attributed to the petitioner in the commission of a serious crime in which an attempt was made to murder Amrit Pal Singh-injured. The presence of the petitioner is admitted at the place of occurrence and as per the prosecution, after committing the crime, the petitioner fled from the spot.

Keeping in view the totality of facts as noticed above, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the present case is not a fit case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition stands dismissed.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 19, 2017
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No