

**232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32773 of 2017

Date of decision: September 27, 2017

Raghu and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents i.e. respondents No.4 to 7.

The case of the petitioners is that they have solemnized marriage on 02.09.2017. Petitioner No.1-Raghu though has attained the age of majority whereas petitioner No.2-Pratibha is minor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that they are not seeking validity of the marriage but confined their prayer only to protect their life and liberty.

On notice of motion having been issued, Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.7 and filed power of attorney which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.7 on instructions from respondent No.7, namely, Sushma Devi who is present in Court states that

private respondents have no objection even if petitioner No.2 has solemnized marriage with petitioner No.1.

In view of the above, without commenting anything upon the validity of marriage of petitioners and taking into consideration the statement made by respondent No.7, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and same is accordingly disposed of.

However, in case the petitioners still apprehend any threat to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach concerned SSP, who shall take necessary steps for redressal of their grievance in accordance with law.

September 27, 2017

m. sharma

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No