

**204-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33455-2016
Date of decision-24.04.2017**

**Mohinder Singh and others
Vs.
State of Punjab**

...Petitioners

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.R.Bhardwaj, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.118 dated 22.07.2016 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

On 06.10.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Contends that co-accused, Gurnam Singh, who put his thumb impression on the DDR maintained by the Patwari, on the basis of which the land was redeemed, has already been admitted to bail.

The petitioners are allowed to join the investigation.

Post again on 22.11.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That they shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 06.10.2016 the petitioners have joined the investigation The learned State counsel, submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

April 24, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No