

209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32580-2017

Date of decision:11.09.2017

DHARAMVEER SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.180 dated 17.07.2017 under Sections 308/342/323/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the dispute is between two real brothers and apart from the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties, the co-accused, namely, Rashpal Singh has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide judgment dated 05.09.2017 passed in CRM-M-32068-2017 titled as 'Rashpal Singh Versus State of Punjab'. He further states that the petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaidev Singh, does not dispute the aforesaid fact that Rashpal Singh has been admitted on bail by this Court vide order dated 05.09.2017. He also does not dispute the relationship and compromise between the parties.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2017. The trial in the case will take sufficient long time. Even otherwise, the injured-complainant has come forward and made a statement before the learned Additional Sessions Judge that he has compromised the matter with Rashpal Singh, who is the real brother of the complainant.

In view of above, present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

11.09.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No