

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-33404 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 25.09.2017**

Gobind Sharma @ Rohi

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G. S. Rawat, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.68 dated 27.04.2016 under Sections 324/341/379/427/34 registered at Police Station Division No.2, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of a sudden dispute over a minor issue and the matter has now been resolved with the intervention of respectables.

This Court by the order dated 24.08.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, has

sent his report dated 07.09.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a sudden dispute over a minor issue which has now been resolved. The parties want to live in peace and harmony.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.68 dated 27.04.2016 under Sections 324/341/379/427/34 registered at Police Station Division No.2, District Jalandhar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 25, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No