

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3254-2017
Date of Decision: 14.03.2017

Pawan Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

Naveen Kumar Bansal

....Respondent

CRM-M-3256-2017

Nitin Goyal

.....Petitioner

vs.

Naveen Kumar Bansal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of the respondent (in CRM-M-3256-2017) has been filed by learned counsel for the respondent, in Court today. The same is taken on record.

Learned Senior Counsel for the respondent has submitted that the complaint that is the subject matter of both these petitions, is at the stage of arguments before the learned trial Court, with evidence for the complainant already having been concluded and defence evidence in

progress.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has been specifically put a query as to whether these facts, as stated by learned Senior Counsel, have been mentioned in the petitions or not, to which he has submitted that they have not specifically been mentioned.

He seeks to withdraw these petitions with liberty to take all his arguments before the learned trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty obviously always available to the petitioners.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 14, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.