

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33284-2016

Date of Decision: 30.03.2017

Ram Singh

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR and that the entire episode was actually based on a self-inflicted wound by the complainant, on account of the dispute between her and the petitioner over a small piece of land, and in fact the matter had even been compromised at one stage, pursuant to which a cancellation report was filed, however, the competent Court did not accept the report.

Presently the trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence; hence, I do not consider it appropriate to quash the FIR, at this stage.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed but nothing stated hereinabove will be taken as an observation of this Court on the merits of the case either for or against the petitioner.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2017

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.