

CRM-M-3235-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3235-2017

Date of Decision: 23.05.2017

Sajad Hussain @ Sujjad Hussain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Sajad Hussain @ Sujjad Hussain has filed this 2nd petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.08 dated 02.02.2016, registered at Police Station Shahpurkandi, District Pathankot, under Section 3 of Indian Official Secret Act, 1923, Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC and Section 63, 65, 66-D and 68-A of the Information Technology Act.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that allegations against the petitioner

CRM-M-3235-2017

are very serious in nature. Though the petitioner is not named in the FIR, but during the investigation, his involvement has been found. As per the prosecution version, the main accused is Irshad against whom allegations are that he is having links with ISI, a secret agency of Pakistan and has visited Punjab, Haryana, Delhi and Himachal Pradesh and after clicking the photographs of sensible areas of Indian Army, he has provided photographs to ISI. The present petitioner is stated to be a relative of Irshad and has helped him in visiting various places. There is also allegation that money has been deposited in the account of the petitioner etc.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence which relates to the security of the country, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, as the petitioner has been in custody since 12.02.2016, therefore, trial Court is directed to expedite the trial by giving short adjournments or by adjourning the case on day to day basis, if necessity arises, and conclude it preferably within four months.

23.05.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No