

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-32348 OF 2017
DATE OF DECISION : 28th NOVEMBER, 2017

Baljeet Singh @ Baljit Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. P. K. S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainants.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.37 dated 28.05.2016 registered under Sections 364-A, 384, 385, 506, 342, 120-B & 323 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for complainants submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 03.10.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-32348 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.37 dated 28.05.2016 registered under Sections 364-A, 384, 385, 506, 342, 120-B & 323 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

28th NOVEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>