

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

252/1

CRM-M-32308-2017.

Date of Decision: 31.10.2017.

Manjit Singh and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Rajpreet S. Sidhu, Advocate, for
Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Jasdeep S. Bhullar, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.85 dated 21.06.2017 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and DDR No.30 dated 23.06.2017 vide which offence under Section 326 IPC was added in the aforesaid FIR, on the basis of compromise dated 11.08.2017 (Annexure-P3).

On presentation of the petition, vide order dated 31.08.2017 passed by co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/ Illaqa Magistrate for recording their statements with a direction to the trial Court/ Illaqa Magistrate to furnish a

report qua veracity of the compromise, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on 04.09.2017 and got recorded their statements. Report from the concerned Magistrate has been received vide letter bearing No.436 dated 11.09.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide letter bearing No.2351 dated 14.09.2017.

According to the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, the compromise between the parties is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion from either side and with their own sweet will.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.85 as well as DDR No.30, are quashed qua the petitioners.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

31.10.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No