

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3225-2017

Date of decision : 09.02.2017

Manbir alias Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vinod, submits that the Bolero car which is stated to have been hit into the house of the complainant, allegedly deliberately, does not belong to the petitioner and that seven co-accused of the petitioner have been arrested.

In view of the above, upon the petitioner joining investigation, in case he is sought to be arrested, he would be admitted to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Illaq Magistrate. He shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

09.02.2017
adhikari

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*