

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr.No.286)

CRM-M-33061-2016

Date of Decision:-09.02.2017.

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Dhawaljeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Mohit Chugh, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.74 dated 23.10.2014 registered under Sections 452, 382, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

The parties to the dispute have now arrived at compromise. This Court had made an order dated October 24, 2016 directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements of the parties in view of the compromise and verify that whether the same is genuine or not.

Now, there is a report received from District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur showing that indeed there is a compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties and statements in view of compromise have

been recorded and compromise that is arrived at between the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.74 dated 23.10.2014 registered under Sections 452, 382, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

February 09, 2017
pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No