

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-32201 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2017**

Punit Rana

...Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. V. P. S. Mithewal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.170 dated 19.08.2017 under Sections 454/380 IPC registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of misunderstanding between the advocates, which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

This Court by the order dated 31.08.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga, has sent his

report dated 18.09.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of misunderstanding between the advocates, which has now been resolved.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.170 dated 19.08.2017 under Sections 454/380 IPC registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No