

**202-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33017-2016
Date of decision-20.03.2017**

**Vijay Kumar
Vs.
State of Punjab**

**...Petitioner
...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.S.Pheruman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.69 dated 08.05.2016, registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 427, 436, 326 and 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

On 24.01.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“As per the report of Deputy Commissioner, the parties have not come forward to resolve the matter suggested by this Court vide order dated 30.09.2016. It is a case of version and cross-version.

Post again on 02.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 24.01.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.01.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 20, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No