

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Hemlata
2017.08.31 17:44
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-32072-2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 31.08.2017

Rajni Rampal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitoners submits that in an identical case filed by the petitoners, the following order has been passed in CRM-25353 of 2017 in CRM-M-26583 of 2017:-

“Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that there was lapse on the part of the Clerk that he did not apply for copy of order in time as a result of which the petitioner could not appear in the court within seven days of the passing of the order dated 28.7.2017. Though the explanation given by learned counsel for the petitioner does not appeal to reason but still keeping in view the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given to the petitioner to surrender before the court within seven days from today, failing which he will be taken into custody.

Disposed of accordingly.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are ready to appear before the trial Court today itself.

Disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be given to learned counsel for the petitoners under the signature of Special Secretary of this Court.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

31.08.2017

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No