

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-32063 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 24.10.2017.

Sachin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.233 dated 25.05.2017 registered under Sections 8 and 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 34 IPC at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner is neither named in the FIR nor he is relative of co-accused Monu. The petitioner is in custody since 08.06.2017. The prosecutrix is not coming forward to record her statement before the trial Court. The petitioner is a young boy of 20 years age.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application. Learned State counsel has stated that no photograph or obscene pictures of the prosecutrix were uploaded on the social media.

Heard.

Considering the young age of the petitioner and the fact that no specific overt act has been attributed to him; the challan stands already presented; the petitioner is in custody since 08.06.2017, so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.10.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No