

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-32041 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.09.2017.

Jashanpreet Singh @ Sharry

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. AS Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.29 dated 27.04.2017 registered under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the prosecutrix in fact, wanted to marry to co-accused Vikram Singh. The statement of the prosecutrix was recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C wherein she has stated that she willingly accompanied co-accused Vikram Singh. There is nothing on record against the petitioner. Co-accused Vikram Singh has been admitted to bail by the trial Court. The petitioner is a young boy of around 18 years of age.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the statement of the prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C and the fact that the main accused has been allowed bail by the trial Court, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

05.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No