

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-32876 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 08.09.2017**

Ajay Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. J. S. Lalli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.78 dated 17.05.2009 under Sections 323/324/452/436/427/380/506/148/149 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.5 Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is an outcome of a minor dispute between the parties and the matter has been compromised. Only simple injuries are stated to have been caused.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 19.12.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Jalandhar, has sent her report dated 25.01.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a minor dispute between the parties and the matter has been compromised. Only simple injuries are stated to have been caused.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.78 dated 17.05.2009 under Sections 323/324/452/436/427/380/506/ 148/149 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.5 Jalandhar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 08, 2017
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No