

**255 IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32814 Of 2016
Date of decision: 09.10.2017.**

MEERAN DEVI AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Sandeep Punchhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Raj K. Narang, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J.(Oral)

In this petition, the petitioners who are accused in F.I.R. No. 72 dated 08.11.2015, under Section 420 (Annexure P-1) of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station City II, Abohar, District Fazilka, have prayed for quashing of FIR with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Abohar, on the basis of compromise.

2. During pendency of the trial, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Mutual compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of Statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Abohar, vide report dated 06.02.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and

- 3 Respondent No.5 is represented by his counsel.
4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Abohar, and in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.
5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 72 dated 08.11.2015 under Section 420, of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Abohar, District Fazilka, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

October 09, 2017

jyoti-IV

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No