

CRM-M-3186-2017

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.275)

CRM-M-3186-2017

Date of Decision:-March 6, 2017

Prabhdeep Singh Papal and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Bhullar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.88 dated 12.06.2014 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 of IPC was added lateron) at Police Station Phase VIII, SAS Nagar, Mohali, the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2 state that compromise have been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated February 02, 2017, in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from District and Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar Mohali, showing that the statements of

the parties have been recorded and compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to leave in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.88 dated 12.06.2014 registered under Sections 323, 324, 325, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 326 of IPC was added lateron) at Police Station Phase VIII, SAS Nagar, Mohali,, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners only.

Disposed of.

March 06, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No