

CRM NO. M-31809 OF 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-31809 OF 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 29.09.2017

Parmod alias Danny

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghuman, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 120 dated 15.06.2017 under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, registered at Police Station City-I, Abohar, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per allegations in the FIR, 40 boxes containing 12 bottles each of country made liquor have been recovered from the car belonging to the petitioner. He, however, contends that the car was stolen from his house and he had lodged a complaint in this regard with the police at 3.37 AM on 15.06.2017, while the car was recovered at 6.30 PM on 15.06.2017.

This Court, vide order dated 29.08.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest,

he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 29.08.2017 the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 29.08.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 29, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>