

CRM-M-31795-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31795-2017

Date of Decision:04.10.2017

Parminder Kaur @ Perri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Parminder Kaur @ Perri has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.24 dated 02.02.2017, registered at Police Station Mataur, District SAS Nagar Mohali, under Sections 304, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-31795-2017

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, has been registered on the statement of complainant-Balwinder Singh. As per the allegations, Harpreet Singh Teenu @ Happy was studying in photography. On the day of *Lohri* i.e. 13.01.2017, a party was organized by the students of Mauraf Academy, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh in which all of them took liquor. When Harpreet Singh did not reach home, his mother called him on his mobile and it transpired that he reached at the house of the present petitioner, who was staying in a PG in Sector 49-D, Chandigarh. On persisting calls made by his mother on the mobile of Harpreet Singh, the said phone was allegedly picked up by Jaswinder Singh and from which it transpired that Harpreet Singh was boozed and he was not in a position to talk. On the next day i.e. 14.01.2017, the dead body of son of complainant was found lying in a park near Hanuman Mandir of Phase 3-A, Mohali, under mysterious circumstances.

As per the post-mortem report, no poison was detected, nor any injury was found on the person of the deceased. No motive or enmity of any type has been alleged in the FIR. Moreover, the petitioner has been in custody since 28.02.2017.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case which may take a long time. Therefore, finding

CRM-M-31795-2017

merit in the present petitioner, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

04.10.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No