

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-3170 of 2017
Date of decision : 19.05.2017**

Pawan Kumar GoyalPetitioner
versus
State of Haryana and anr. ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of F.I.R No. 105 dated 26.03.2014 registered under Sections 323/406/498-A/506 IPC at P.S. Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala

Learned counsel submits that petitioner is father-in-law and the allegations against him are general in nature.

This Court referred the matter to Mediation Centre and parties mediated today with Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur, Mediator who stated that petitioner has made an offer of Rs.50 lacs towards full and final settlement, which is being declined by the complainant and her father.

Keeping in view the above fact, this petition is being disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to take all the pleas before the trial Court.

Further, the personal appearance of the petitioner is exempted before the trial Court, subject to the following conditions:- (i) petitioner shall be represented through counsel; (ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings; (iii) shall not dispute his identity as an accused; (iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in his absence but in the presence of his counsel; (v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court; and (vi) any other condition which the learned trial Court may impose.

19.05.2017

G Arora

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>