

110.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31693-2017

Date of decision:29.08.2017.

JAGDEV SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Premjit Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of police officials i.e. respondents No.3 to 8. Prayer has also been made for compensation to the petitioner on account of damaging the CCTV camera installed at his house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the vehicles owned by the petitioner have been taken away by the respondents without there being any case against the petitioner or any other member of his family.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the aforesaid grievance, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 12.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) to Senior

Superintendent of Police, District Moga i.e. respondent No.2.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to look into the contents of said representation dated 12.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate decision thereon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without making any comment on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga, to look into the contents of representation dated 12.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to act in accordance with law.

In case, the allegations made in the representation dated 12.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) are found to be false, the respondents are at liberty to take action against the petitioner.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.08.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.