

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-31688 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 26.09.2017.

Kiran @ Karan and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. AS Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab
assisted by HC Satnam Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 11 dated 17.01.2017

registered under Sections 363, 366-A and 120-B IPC at Police Station

City, District Tarn Taran.

On 28.08.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel contends that Babita daughter of the complainant and Lakhanjit Singh son of petitioner No.3 being known to each other solemnized marriage without approval and knowledge of petitioners. The couple also filed protection petition (Annexure P-2) before the District and Sessions Judge but they could not appear before the Court and the said petition was dismissed for non-prosecution. The petitioners are not having any knowledge of either of Lakhanjit or his wife, namely, Babita.

Notice of motion for 26.09.2017.

The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 28.08.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 28.08.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

26.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No