

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31555 of 2017

Date of decision: September 25, 2017

Sandeep Singh @ Top @ Maan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.99 dated 26.07.2016 under Sections 302, 307, 452, 324, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Haibowal, Ludhiana.

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. Perused the evidence projected against the petitioner by the prosecution. Statements of the witnesses were recorded almost after a period of eight months by way of supplementary statements. Investigation has been completed but challan has not been filed.

Looking to the prima facie case for grant of bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. However, the interest of the prosecution will have to be protected. The prosecution witnesses reside at village Malakpur, District Ludhiana. Learned Counsel for the petitioner makes a statement, on instructions from the petitioner, that the petitioner will not enter Ludhiana or the villages adjacent to

Ludhiana and shall reside at village Sakri, PO Rehan, District Kangra (HP) and shall report at Police Station Haibowal on every Saturday at 10:00 A.M. till the recording of the evidence of the prosecution witnesses before the trial court, save and except for the dates before the trial court.

The petition is allowed with above conditions.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 25, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No