

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31467-2017
Date of Decision: 31.10.2017**

Joginder Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. V. K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A. S. Dhaliwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 181 dated 26.08.2014, under Sections 498-A, 354 and 323 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural, Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 19.08.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of respondent No. 2/complainant was solemnized in the year 2012 with Gurjit Singh who is the son of petitioner No. 1 herein. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, respondent No. 2 started living separately. Thereafter, she got registered the said FIR under the aforesaid sections. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the

parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 181 dated 26.08.2014, under

Sections 498-A, 354 and 323 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural, Amritsar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

31.10.2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No