

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3231 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.12.2017

Parveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Neeru

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioner in person with
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate for the respondent.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CRM-16316-2017

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

The petitioner/husband has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C.') against the impugned order dated 15.12.2015, passed by Sessions Judge, Karnal, whereby the order dated 12.03.2014, passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Karnal, was modified and the interim maintenance was enhanced to Rs.1000/- to Rs. 5000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after passing of decree of divorce, the respondent has re-married. The entire payment of

Rs.1 lakh as agreed between the parties during the subsistence of marriage has been paid through demand draft to learned counsel for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the matter is finally settled and he assures that the respondent will not raise any demand on petition with regard to her maintenance or any other proceedings being divorcee of the petitioner under Hindu Marriage Act.

Heard.

Considering the fact the matter is finally settled, therefore, this Court feels that the intended purpose of filing the present petition is achieved.

Disposed of.

19.12.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No