

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.3143 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

MANJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present:None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

None appeared on behalf of the petitioner even on second call.

On 01.02.2017, following order was passed by this Court:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR itself has been divided into two parts. The first part relates to Lakshmi Bajaj, Praveen Bajaj, Vishal Indra and Pawan Kumar, Ex. Sarpanch. Petitioner did not figure in any manner. The alleged attribution qua the petitioner only came viz-a-viz the role played by Raghbir Singh who is not proved to be the true owner.*

*Notice of motion for 03.04.2017.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on*

*15.02.2017 and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions incorporated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Faqir Singh submitted that the aforesaid order has not been complied with by the petitioner as he has not come to join the investigation even for once.

In view of above, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in availing the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

May 30, 2017

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No