

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-3135 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 8, 2017.**

Saleem

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr.Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Punjab.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Heard.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the court, Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court accepts notice and submits that intimation by Registry informing of fixation of the petition has already been received and record of the case is available with him.

Learned State counsel submits that the allegations against the petitioner are similar to the allegations against co-accused Azad who has been allowed bail vide order dated 11.1.2017 passed in CRM-M-44596-2016.

Applying the principles of parity and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner Saleem is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Palwal subject to following terms:-

- a. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- b. In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial Court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh before the trial Court.
- c. He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the Court.

February 8, 2017
Paritosh Kumar

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No